



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2911/2025 & CRL.M.A. 12995/2025

AMAN GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kanishk Kharbanda, Adv.

Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH SHO

JANAKPURI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State.

SI Manoj Kumar, ASI Surender, PS Janakpuri

R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

22.09.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No.68/2023 registered at Police Station – Janakpuri on 25.02.2023, for offences punishable under Sections 279/337 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 24.02.2023, respondent no. 2, while crossing the Panka Road, Janakpuri, was allegedly hit by a Scooty being driven by the petitioner, as a result of which respondent no. 2 sustained injuries. Thereafter, the petitioner himself admitted respondent no. 2 to the Lok Clinic and Hospital, Janakpuri. On the basis of the statement of respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that charges have been framed and the chargesheet has already been filed against



the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is further submitted that the respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated for the injuries suffered by her along with her medical expenses by the petitioner.

4. Mediation Settlement dated 10.04.2024 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-3. *Qua* this settlement deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No.68/2023 registered at Police Station – Janakpuri against the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that respondent no. 2 has settled her dispute with the petitioner for a sum of ₹1,00,000/-. It is further submitted that she has received the entire settlement amount.

6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Janakpuri. Respondent no.2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without



any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. As per the Settlement Deed, respondent no. 2 has received the entire settled amount. Further, she submits that she has no objection to the present FIR being quashed.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioner and respondent no.2 amicably without any pressure and the injury sustained by respondent no. 2 was simple in nature, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

12. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement and further having regard to the fact that the injury suffered by complainant/respondent no. 2 is simple in nature, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

14. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No.68/2023 registered at Police Station – Janakpuri, for offences punishable under Sections 279/337 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

15. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 22, 2025/AS/dd