



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2513/2025**

MANISH VARSHAUL

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel Mr. S.K.
Singh, Adv.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with Ms. Puja Mann, Adv.
R-2 and R-3 with their counsel Ms.
Nandita Rao, Mr. Jai Shankar and Mr.
Ashish Kumar, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

04.08.2025

CRL.M.A. 11246/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 11255/2025

3. By way of the present application, the petitioner seeks condonation of delay of 60 days in re-filing the present petition.
4. For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 60 days in re-filing the present petition is condoned.
5. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2513/2025

6. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking quashing of



FIR bearing no. 275/2019, registered at Police Station Mundka, Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 363/376/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (hereafter '*POCSO Act*').

7. The petitioner and respondent nos. 2 and 3 are present before this Court in person. They have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Mundka, Delhi.

8. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the complainant was 17 years old in the year 2019, when the FIR in this case was registered. They were married in the same year. Further, they have been blessed with a child and are presently living together. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Compromise Deed dated 16.03.2024, entered between them.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

10. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 275/2019, registered at Police Station



Mundka, Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 363/376/34 of IPC and Section 6 of the POCSO Act of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 04, 2025/A