



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1346/2025, CRL.M.A. 5957/2025, CRL.M.A. 5958/2025
& CRL.M.A. 5959/2025

SHRI INDERJEET & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Preeti Kumari, Adv. with
Petitioner no. 1, 2 and 3 in person.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)
& ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar for Mr. Raghuinder
Verma, APP for State
SI Bharat Singh PS Uttam Nagar and WHC
Mamta Tyagi MT Madipur
Respondent no. 2 present (through vc)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

06.08.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR 590/2018 registered at PS Uttam Nagar on 03.07.2018, for offences punishable under Sections 323/341/354A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that the respondent no. 2 was eve-teased and abused by petitioner no. 1 and subsequently physically harassed by all



the petitioners due to which she sustained injuries in her face, stomach and back.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Settlement Agreement dated 17.12.2024 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-6. *Qua* this Settlement Agreement, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 590/2018 registered at PS Uttam Nagar against the petitioners.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State submitted that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, PS Uttam Nagar. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.



11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement and further having regard to the fact that the injuries suffered by the complainant/respondent no.2 is simple in nature, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No. 590/2018 registered at PS Uttam Nagar, for offences punishable under Sections 323/341/354A of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

14. The present petition, along with pending application(s) if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

AUGUST 6, 2025/AS/yr