



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 442/2025, CM APPL. 82090/2025 (To permit appellant's brother to represent the appellant through power of attorney), CM APPL. 82091/2025 (Ex.), CM APPL. 82092/2025 (Delay of 6 days in Re-filing the appeal) & CM APPL. 82093/2025 (Stay)

PREETI AGARWAL

.....Appellant

Through: Appellant in Person alongwith
Mr. Binoy Bhushan Agarwal,
Authorised Representative for
Appellant.

versus

AGNI SHEKHAR PANDEY

.....Respondent

Through: *Nemo.*

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER
24.12.2025

%

1. The present Appeal, filed under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984, challenges the Order dated 10.10.2025 passed by the learned Judge, Family Court-01, South District, Saket, New Delhi, in Guardianship Petition bearing (G.P.) No. 36 of 2021, titled "*Agni Shekhar Pandey v. Preeti Agarwal*".

2. The Respondent is employed in Border Security Force and his daughter is in the care & custody of the Appellant i.e. his wife.

3. Vide the Order under challenge, the learned Family Court has only permitted the Respondent to interact with the child for 20 minutes twice every week – Saturday & Sunday and the correctness of



such an order is challenged in the present Appeal.

4. After having considered the facts and circumstances of the present matter, this Court does not find it appropriate to interfere with the arrangement made by the learned Family Court.

5. Hence, there is no ground to interfere with the impugned Order and present Appeal is rejected.

6. Accordingly, the present Appeal, along with pending application(s), is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
DECEMBER 24, 2025/tk/va