



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9301/2025 & CRL.M.A. 38785/2025,**
CRL.M.A. 38786/2025

RAJKUMAR CHAURASIA & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Amit Rao, Adv.
(through VC)

versus

THE STATE THROUGH S H O & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri,
APP for the State with SI
Prashant Yadav, PS Budh
Vihar and SI Rajpal, PS
Rani Bagh.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
24.12.2025

%

1. By the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 180/2024 dated 29.06.2024, registered at Police Station Budh Vihar, for offences under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.
2. It is the case of the petitioners that the FIR was registered on a complaint, which was given a counterblast to the proceedings between the parties.
3. On being asked, it is informed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State that the investigation is already complete and the chargesheet was also filed long back on 04.11.2024. It is further pointed out that the learned Trial Court



has already taken cognizance of the offences and has issued summons to the petitioners, who have also appeared before the learned Trial Court.

4. The matter is now listed before the learned Trial Court on 26.02.2026 for considering the arguments on charge.

5. Admittedly, the petitioners have the remedy of addressing arguments and raising all issues before the learned Trial Court while arguing on charge. Moreover, the FIR was registered almost one and a half years back and more than an year has passed since filing of the chargesheet as well.

6. While this Court is empowered to quash criminal proceedings even after filing of chargesheet to secure the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of law, it is well settled that ordinarily, this Court should be cautious to exercise inherent jurisdiction and interfere with the proceedings after chargesheet has been filed after thorough investigation [Ref. ***State of Odisha v. Pratima Mohanty and Others: (2022) 16 SCC 703***].

7. In view of the above, as the learned Trial Court is already seized of the matter and the petitioners have also appeared before the learned Trial Court, this Court does not consider it apposite to entertain the present petition at this stage.

8. Needless to say, the petitioners are at liberty to approach the Court in case any grievance remains in future.

9. It is also made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

10. The learned Trial Court shall pass an order in accordance with law after considering the facts and applicable law.

11. The present petition is disposed of with liberty to the



petitioners to take all arguments before the learned Trial Court at the time of arguments on charge. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 24, 2025

'KDK'