



\$~46

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9295/2025**

**SUNIL SINGH RAWAT AND ORS. ....Petitioners**

Through: Petitioners with their counsel

versus

**THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI  
AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for the  
State with Ms. Kalpna Jha, Adv.  
R-2 with her counsel Mr. Keshav  
Kamra, Mr. Chaman Lal and Mr.  
Ram Kumar Saxena, Advs. along  
with SI Deepak Kumar.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA**

**ORDER**

%

**24.12.2025**

**CRL.M.A. 38777/2025 (exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

**CRL.M.C. 9295/2025**

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR bearing no. 0002/2025, registered at Police Station Lajpat Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer



(IO) from Police Station Lajpat Nagar, Delhi.

5. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 22.04.2016, as per Hindu rites and customs. It is stated that one male child namely, Darshit Rawat, was born out of the said wedlock on 10.02.2017, who is presently in the custody of the petitioner. Due to temperamental differences, parties have been residing separately. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant Sections.

6. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes *vide* Memorandum of Understanding dated 08.10.2025. The complainant is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has received the balance compromise amount of Rs.4,00,000/-, by way of Demand Draft bearing No. 000077, dated 10.12.2025, drawn on AXIS Bank.

7. This Court notes that the custody of minor children is with the petitioner and the future right of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the



Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

10. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 0002/2025, registered at Police Station Lajpat Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

**DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J**

**DECEMBER 24, 2025/A/GJ**