



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 9288/2025 and CRL.M.A. 38767/2025

GURDEEP SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anish Shreshta, Mr. Firoz, Advs.
with petitioner nos. 1 – 4 in person.
Petitioner no.5 (through VC).

versus

STATE OF GOVT OF NCT DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, APP with SI
Sushil, PS Jaitpur.
Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Adv. for R-2 with
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

24.12.2025

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No. 421/2021 under Sections 323/354/354(C)/506/34 IPC registered at P.S. Jaitpur on the ground that parties have arrived at a settlement.
2. Issue notice. Ms. Kiran Bairwa, learned APP appearing on behalf of State accepts notice.
3. Mr. Anish Shreshta, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the present FIR is an outcome of matrimonial discord between the respondent no. 2 and her husband. He submits that respondent no.2 and her husband have parted their ways and have moved ahead in their respective lives.
4. The petitioner no.1 and 2 are stated to be the brothers of the husband



of respondent no. 2 whereas petitioner nos. 3 to 5 are the friends of the husband.

5. The petitioner nos. 1 to 4 are present in Court. Likewise, the respondent no.2 is also present in Court. The petitioner no. 5 has joined through VC. The parties have been identified by the respective counsels, as well as, by the IO SI Sushil, PS Jaitpur.

6. During pendency of the proceedings, the parties have resolved all their disputes amicably without any coercion or pressure and arrived at a settlement, terms whereof have been reduced in writing in terms of the compromise/settlement deed dated 06.12.2025, which is annexed as Annexure P-5 to the present petition. The affidavit of respondent no.2 is also annexed as Annexure P-8 to that effect.

7. The respondent no.2 who is present in Court, on being queried by the Court as regard the settlement, affirms the factum of settlement. She further states that she does not wish to prosecute the criminal proceedings and have no objection in case the FIR is quashed.

8. In view of the fact that petitioners as well as respondent no.2 have amicably settled their disputes and regard being had to the statement made by respondent no.2/complainant, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served in continuation of criminal proceedings and it will be an exercise in futility.

9. At this stage, apt would it be to refer to the observations of the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303: (SCC p. 340, para 58)***

“58. Where the High Court quashes a criminal proceeding having regard to the fact that the dispute between the offender



and the victim has been settled although the offences are not compoundable, it does so as in its opinion, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is restored; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor.”

10. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.
11. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No. 421/2021 under Sections 323/354/354(C)/506/34 IPC registered at P.S. Jaitpur alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, is quashed.
12. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.
13. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 24, 2025/neha