



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9276/2025**

BABBU @ AAKASH & ORS.

....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Payal Dua and Mr. CM Grover,
Advocates with petitioners-in-person.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for the
State with Ms. Kalpana Jha, Advocate
and with SI K.L. Kuldeep, P.S. Sarai
Rohilla.
Respondent no. 2-in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

24.12.2025

CRL.M.A. 38711/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 9276/2025

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 231/2016, registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and its consequential proceedings.
4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and



have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Sarai Rohilla, Delhi.

6. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between the petitioner no.1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 15.02.2013, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that no child was born out of the said wedlock. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties started residing separately since 21.08.2015. On the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

7. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes before Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi vide Mediation Settlement Agreement dated 12.07.2025 and had dissolved their marriage by way of mutual consent before the concerned Court.

8. The respondent no. 2 is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has received an amount of Rs.2,25,000/- by way of Demand Draft bearing no. 404027 drawn on State Bank of India, which she was to receive at the time of quashing of the FIR.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

10. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.



There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 231/2016, registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 24, 2025/zp/r