



2025:DHC:11982



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**% **Date of Decision: 23rd December, 2025**+ W.P.(C) 19610/2025, CM APPL. 81964/2025 & CM APPL.
81965/2025

SWATI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. K.K.L. Gautam, Ms. Vishali
Nariyala and Mr. Sumit, Advocates
Mob: 9871911183
Email: scchamber103@gmail.com &
kklg4ds@gmail.com

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Kapil Dutta and Mr. Rachit
Singh, Advocate for MCD
Mob: 9811135509
Email: kapilduttamcd@gmail.com
Ms. Aarti Bansal, Advocate for DDA**CORAM:****HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA****MINI PUSHKARNA, J (ORAL):****W.P.(C) 19610/2025 & CM APPL. 81965/2025**

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking quashing/setting aside the Official Order dated 13th August, 2024, issued by the Deputy Director, Delhi Development Authority ("DDA") Commercial Estate Branch and issuance of directions for commanding/directing the respondent no. 1 Municipal Corporation of Delhi ("MCD"), to grant Veterinary License to the petitioner.
2. The present application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner to

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: HARIOM SHARMA
Signing Date: 24.12.2025
21:10:17



2025:DHC:11982



permit the petitioner for running/selling of fish/chicken/mutton in *Stall No. 8, Dabri More, Fruit and Vegetable Market, New Delhi*.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been engaged in the business of selling Fish/Chicken and Mutton in the *Shop/Stall No. 8 at Fruit, Vegetable and Fish Market (DDA Main Market) at Dabri Mor Pocket 20C, Palam Road, New Delhi*, before the survey of the site took place in the year 2004, and construction of 288 platforms.

4. It is submitted that the petitioner is the legal owner of the thara/unit/stall no. 8 *via* draw dated 28th March, 2010.

5. It is submitted that the petitioner has fulfilled the criteria as per the policy of the Municipal Corporation of Delhi ("MCD") dated 20th December, 2023, for the issuance of the Veterinary License for running/selling of fish, chicken, wherein the new policy for the grant of license to the meat shops, meat procession/packaging/storage plants/factories/unit and registration of the platforms/thadas allotted by the DDA/government agencies for the sale of chicken and fish in the jurisdiction of MCD, has been allowed.

6. It is further submitted that this Court has already dealt with similar issue and passed orders on 12th August, 2025 in *W.P.(C) 12160/2025* and order dated 09th September, 2025 passed in *W.P.(C) 3595/2025*.

7. Thus, he prays that similar order be passed in the present petition also.

8. Issue notice. Notice is accepted by learned counsel appearing for MCD. Ms. Aarti Bansal, who is on panel of DDA and is present in Court, is requested to represent the DDA in the present matter.

9. Learned counsel for the MCD submits that similar orders have already been passed by this Court. However, he draws the attention of this Court to



the policy issued by the Veterinary Services Department of MCD and in particular, relies upon Clause 7 of the same policy, which reads as under:

“xxx xxx xxx

7. If a meat shop/premises is sealed due to any violation of the provision of this policy, an amount of Rs.20,000/- (Rs. Twenty thousand only) shall be charged as processing fee for de-sealing for the first time. If, the same meat shop is sealed subsequently on account of violation of terms and conditions of the Policy or due to any other reason whatsoever, an amount of Rs.50,000/- (Rs. Fifty thousand only) each time shall be charged for de-sealing of the meat shop from the meat shopkeeper.

xxx xxx xxx”

10. By referring to the aforesaid, learned counsel for respondent MCD submits that the petitioner would be required to pay the aforesaid amount, as process fee, for de-sealing.

11. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no objection to payment of the said amount.

12. Considering the submissions made before this Court, it is directed as follows:

- (i) The petitioner shall make representations to the Deputy Commissioner, Najafgarh Zone, MCD and Commercial Estate Branch of DDA.
- (ii) Upon the representations being made by the petitioner, the case of the petitioner for withdrawal of the order of revocation of Veterinary Trade License, as well as revocation of license by the DDA, including, de-sealing of premises, shall be considered and



2025:DHC:11982



disposed of by the concerned officials of the MCD and DDA, within a period of four weeks.

- (iii) At the time of considering the representations of the petitioner, the concerned officials of DDA and MCD shall coordinate with each other, so that the representations of the petitioner are dealt in a comprehensive manner.
- (iv) The petitioner shall be called for personal hearing through herself or through her authorized representative, at the time of considering her representations.
- (v) The respondents shall carry out inspection of the premises of the petitioner, in order to assess the status of the premises of the petitioner, for their compliances in terms of the Policy of the MCD.
- (vi) The DDA and MCD shall satisfy themselves that the petitioner adheres to the various compliances in terms of Rule 91 of the Aircraft Rules, 1937.
- (vii) Representation of the petitioner shall be duly considered in terms of the policy of the MCD, issued *vide* Office Order dated 20th December, 2023, by the Department of Veterinary Services, MCD.
- (viii) Requisite order for grant of license by the DDA and MCD shall be issued in favour of the petitioner, subject to the petitioner complying with all the requisite directions and fulfilling the various compliances in terms of the policy of the MCD, as well as Rule 91 of the Aircraft Rules, 1937.



2025:DHC:11982



- (ix) The requisite orders in the applications of the petitioner shall be passed within a period of four weeks, from today.
- (x) The petitioner shall be exempted from paying any fresh fees towards grant of license, in case said fees already stands paid by the petitioner, on previous occasions.
- (xi) The petitioner shall pay an amount of Rs. 20,000/- as per the policy of the MCD, as process fee, for de-sealing of the premises in question.

13. Accordingly, the present application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. In view of the aforesaid directions, no other orders are required to be passed in the present petition. Thus, the present petition, along with pending applications, is accordingly disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025/SK