



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 19523/2025**

MOHKAM SINGHPetitioner

Through: Ms.Warisha Farasat and
Mr.Harshit Anand, Advs.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITYRespondent

Through: Mr.Arun Birbal, Adv. for DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **23.12.2025**

CM APPL. 81479/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CM APPL. 81480/2025

2. This application has been filed by the petitioner seeking permission to file lengthy synopsis and list of dates.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the lengthy synopsis and list of dates are taken on record.

W.P.(C) 19523/2025

4. This petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the Order dated 02.12.2025 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the "Tribunal") in O.A. No. 3844/2025, titled ***Mohkam v. Delhi Development Authority***, by which the plea of the petitioner for an *interim* relief has been declined.

5. The petitioner has filed the above O.A., challenging the



advertisement No. 09/2025/Rect.Cell/Pers./DDA, issued by the respondent for direct recruitment in various categories, including for the posts of Assistant Director (Planning) and Deputy Director (Planning) and the Recruitment Regulations dated 15.05.2015, issued by the respondent for the above said posts.

6. The challenge is premised on the ground that the educational qualifications prescribed for making the application for the posts in question are arbitrary.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she confines her relief in the present Writ Petition, only to a direction that in case the petitioner succeeds in the challenge before the learned Tribunal, merely because the examination has been held, the petitioner would not be prejudiced in the final relief.

8. Needless to state that if the petitioner succeeds in the challenge to the advertisement, the learned Tribunal will pass appropriate orders, including moulding the relief that can be granted to the petitioner in the given facts.

9. With the above observations, the present petition is disposed of. We, however, clarify that we have not gone into the merits of the challenge raised by the petitioner while making the above observations.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025/rv/as