



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 2160/2011**

M/S VISHWAKARMA PROJECTS(INDIA) PVT LTDPlaintiff

Through: None.

versus

**NATIONAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION
LIMITED & ORS**

.....Defendants

**Through: Mr. Ravi Krishan Chandna, Ms.
Vidushi Chandna and Mr. Udhav K Garg,
Advocates for D1&2.**

**Mr. Daleep Dhyani, Mr. Pradeep Mishra and Ms.
Lakshita Sharma, Advocates for D3.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

09.09.2025

%

1. On 04.04.2024, adjournment was sought on behalf of Plaintiff for filing an application within two weeks for substituting the Authorized Representative (AR) of PW1. Order sheets indicate that no steps were taken thereafter by the learned counsel for the Plaintiff. In fact, on 29.10.2024, there was no appearance on behalf of Plaintiff. The same position continued on 17.01.2025, 18.03.2025 and 24.07.2025.

2. On 24.07.2025, learned Joint Registrar noted that no application for substitution of AR of Plaintiff had been filed in terms of the order dated 13.08.2024 and no one has been appearing on behalf of Plaintiff and thus, the matter was listed before the Court for further directions. Even today, there is no presence on behalf of Plaintiff. No steps have been taken in terms



of the previous order for substitution of AR of Plaintiff.

3. It appears that Plaintiff is no longer interested in prosecuting the suit.
4. Accordingly, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution and in default.

SEPTEMBER 9, 2025
Ch

JYOTI SINGH, J