



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9221/2025**

SACHIN AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr. L.
B. Rai, Mr. Satvik Rai, Mr. Kartik
Rai and Mr. Vineesh Tyagi, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for the
State with Mr. Siddharth Shankar
Jain, Adv.
R-2 with her counsel.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

23.12.2025

CRL.M.A. 38500/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 9221/2025

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 163/2024, registered at Police Station Aman Vihar, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498-A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and the proceedings emanating therefrom.
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and



have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Aman Vihar, Delhi.

5. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 19.11.2018, as per Hindu rites and customs. It is stated that one female child, namely, Lakshita, was born out of the said wedlock, who is presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. Due to temperamental differences, parties have been residing separately. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

6. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes before the learned Judge, Family Courts-I, Saket, (South-East), New Delhi vide Settlement Deed dated 16.10.2024. The complainant is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has received the balance compromise amount of Rs.2,00,000/-, by way of Demand Draft bearing No. 210414 dated 14.10.2024, drawn on Central Bank of India.

7. This Court notes that the custody of minor children is with respondent no. 2 and the future right of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the



Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

10. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 163/2024, registered at Police Station Aman Vihar, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025/A/GJ