



\$~96

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 9164/2025

KRISHAN BIHARI MATHUR & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sharad Kumar and Mr. Shivam
Sharma, Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for State with
SI Sunil, PS. Ranjit Nagar.
Mr. Abhishek and Mr. Siddharth
Dhaliwal, Advs. for complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

22.12.2025

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.367/2020 under Sections 323/341/34 IPC registered at Police Station Ranjit Nagar and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the ground that the parties have arrived at a settlement.
2. Issue notice. Ms. Manjeet Arya, learned APP for the State accepts notice.
3. The petitioners, as well as, respondent nos. 2 and 3 who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsels, as well as, by the Investigating Officer SI Sunil, PS. Ranjit Nagar.
4. The respondent no.2/complainant is the daughter of petitioner nos. 1 and 2 whereas petitioner no.3 is the brother of respondent no.2. The respondent no.3 is the sister-in-law (*nanad*) of respondent no.2. Respondent



no.2 had married against the wishes of her family which annoyed the family members. The respondent no.2 was given beatings in which she suffered a simple injury. This led to the registration of present FIR.

5. During pendency of proceedings, the parties were referred to Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi where they arrived at a settlement, terms whereof were reduced in writing in the form of Settlement dated 10.07.2025, which is annexed as Annexure P-3 to the present petition.

6. It is a term of the settlement that the parties with the intervention of family and friends have resolved all their disputes amicably without any coercion or pressure.

7. It is agreed that the respondent no.2 will cooperate with the petitioners for quashing of present FIR.

8. Respondent no.2, on a query posed by the Court, states that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed.

9. At this stage, apt would it be to refer to the observations of the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303: (SCC p. 340, para 58)***

“58. Where the High Court quashes a criminal proceeding having regard to the fact that the dispute between the offender and the victim has been settled although the offences are not compoundable, it does so as in its opinion, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is restored; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor.”

10. In view of the settlement between the parties, the ultimate chances of conviction in the case are bleak, therefore, continuation of criminal proceedings will not serve any useful purpose and it will be an exercise in



futility.

11. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.
12. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No.367/2020 under Sections 323/341/34 IPC registered at Police Station Ranjit Nagar alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, is quashed.
13. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.
14. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025/dss