



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 19457/2025, CM APPL. 81141/2025 (Stay) & CM APPL. 81142/2025 (Ex.)

SHRI RAM PRAKASH (NOW DECEASED) THROUGH
LEGAL HEIRS (THREE SONS) (I). MR. SOHAN LAL
KANWAR & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Sudha Varshney, Mr.
Ankush Sharma and Mr.
Shresth Varshney, Advocates
along with LR's of Petitioner
No. 1.

versus

PUNJAB AND SIND BANK & ORS.Respondents
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR

ORDER
22.12.2025

%

1. The present Writ Petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, challenges the Order dated 10.11.2025, passed by the learned Presiding Officer, Debts Recovery Tribunal-II [“**DRT-II**”], Delhi.
2. Admittedly, a statutory Appeal against the Impugned Order is maintainable before the learned Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal [“**DRAT**”].
3. Learned DRT-II has only refused to send the alleged sale deed dated 26.07.2002 to Central Forensic Science Laboratory [“**CFSL**”] for opinion.
4. The Petitioner alleges that the said sale deed in question is a



fake document.

5. CFSL can only give an opinion which is not binding on the Court and it is for the Petitioner to prove his allegations.

6. After the Chairperson of learned DRAT, Delhi demitted the office, Chairperson, learned DRAT, Kolkata has been given additional charge.

7. Hence, the Petitioner has an effective alternative remedy.

8. Consequently, this Court is not inclined to exercise its extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India and finds no ground to interfere with the Impugned Order.

9. Accordingly, the present Writ Petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
DECEMBER 22, 2025/tk/kr/dj