



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 19519/2025**
PRIYANKA COLLEGE OF EDUCATIONPetitioner
Through: Mr. Gaurav Arora, Advocate.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents
Through: Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal, Ms. Tripta Sharma and Ms. Aishwarya
Malhotra, Advocates for Respondents.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

% **23.12.2025**

1. By this writ petition, Petitioner seeks quashing of order dated 10.11.2025 passed by Respondent No.1 by which statutory appeal filed by the Petitioner against withdrawal of its recognition for conducting B.Ed. course, was dismissed.
2. Petitioner asserts that it was granted recognition on 03.03.2003 by SRC for running a B.Ed. course. In February, 2019, case of the Petitioner was considered in 370th meeting of SRC and recognition was withdrawn. Due to pandemic COVID-19, many members of the Managing Committee of the institute expired and hence, appeal could not be filed on time. The limited relief sought in the writ petition is for grant of a personal hearing to the representative of the Petitioner by the Appeal Committee since the withdrawal of recognition is causing severe prejudice to the institute, which was functioning since 2003.
3. Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, learned counsel for the Respondents, at the



outset, submits that Petitioner was called for personal hearing, which is evident from the appellate order, however, none appeared for the Petitioner and hence, the committee cannot be faulted. It is further submitted that the appeal was filed after a delay of 6 years, 2 months and 17 days with no explanation for the inordinate delay. Without prejudice, it is fairly submitted, on instructions that Appellate Committee will grant a personal hearing to the Petitioner's representative and take a fresh decision.

4. Accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, this writ petition is allowed setting aside order dated 10.11.2025. It is directed that the Appellate Committee shall grant opportunity of personal hearing to the Petitioner for which time, place and venue will be indicated in writing well in advance. It will be open to the Petitioner to make a written representation explaining the delay in filing the appeal as also on merits. Petitioner will also be at liberty to furnish documents, if any, along with the representation. Appellate Committee will take a fresh decision in the appeal after hearing the Petitioner's representative and looking into the written representation and the documents, both on delay and merits. Reasoned and speaking order shall be passed, which shall be communicated to the Petitioner. The entire exercise will be completed within two months from today.

5. Writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms granting liberty to the Petitioner to take recourse to legal remedies, in case of any surviving/further grievance.

JYOTI SINGH, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025

S.Sharma