



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 4248/2025 & CRL.M.A. 38190/2025**
MRS KIRAN CHOPRAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Akhilesh Lakhanlal Kamle,
Advocate with Petitioner (in-Person).

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC (Crl.) for
State.
SI Ramdham, P.S. Vikas Puri.
Respondent No. 2 (in-Person).

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

22.12.2025

%

1. The Petitioner, has filed a complaint under Section 22(3)(1) of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Rules, 2009, seeking eviction of Respondents No. 2 and 3 (Son and Daughter-in-law of the Petitioner respectively) from the suit property bearing No. MG-1/114, third floor, Vikas Puri.
2. The Petitioner's grievance is that the said complaint has not yet been adjudicated, and on that premise, she has approached this Court seeking a direction for expeditious disposal of the proceedings.
3. At the outset, it is noted that immediately upon the filing of the complaint on 27th February, 2025, the office of the District Magistrate-cum-Appellate Tribunal called upon the SDM, Dwarka to conduct an inquiry and examine certain aspects. Pursuant thereto, the SDM, Dwarka, by



communication dated 9th April, 2025, has already furnished responses to the queries raised. Therefore, this is not a case where the Petitioner's complaint has remained unattended and the Petitioner only seeks to have the matter expedited.

4. It is further noted that the jurisdiction invoked is under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, and consequently, the applicants before the authorities would necessarily be senior citizens. Proceedings seeking eviction under the said Act generally involve allegations of harassment faced by senior citizens. While this Court is not insensitive to such concerns, prioritisation of an individual case must be supported by the existence of exceptional circumstances, which are not borne out from the record in the present case. Nevertheless, Respondent No. 1 is requested to examine the Petitioner's complaint and, if any urgency is disclosed therein, to ensure that the matter is decided expeditiously, in accordance with the timelines stipulated under the Act and the Rules framed thereunder.

5. With the above direction, the present petition is disposed of along with the pending application.

6. The Court has not examined the merits of the case. All rights and contentions of the parties are left open.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025

as