



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 4243/2025, CRL.M.A. 38159/2025

SURAJ KUMAR @ SURAJ NARAYAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Haritmani Gauracharya,
Advocate with Petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for State
with Mr. Alok Sharma, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

22.12.2025

%

1. The Petitioner, an accused in FIR No. 1227/2018 registered under Sections 380 and 448 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at P.S. Aman Vihar (Outer), seeks issuance of directions to Respondent No. 1 not to take any coercive action against him in connection with the said FIR.
2. At the outset, Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for the State, points out that the Petitioner had earlier applied for pre-arrest bail in BAIL APPLN. No. 2319/2024, which was taken up along with BAIL APPLN. No. 2309/2024, and the said applications were dismissed by this Court *vide* order dated 30th September, 2024, with strong observations against the Petitioner.
3. Thereafter, the Petitioner again applied for pre-arrest bail, which was dismissed by this Court in BAIL APPLN. No. 3897/2024 *vide* order dated 24th October, 2024, with costs of INR 20,000/- to be deposited with the



Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee.

4. This time, instead of filing a bail application, the Petitioner has invoked the writ jurisdiction of this Court seeking directions to Respondent No. 1 not to take any coercive action against him and not to harass him.
5. In the opinion of this Court, the Petitioner, whose bail applications have been dismissed not once but twice, cannot be permitted to abuse the process of law by invoking the writ jurisdiction to seek a relief which, in substance, amounts to the grant of bail. There is also no basis for the Court to conclude that the Respondents are harassing the Petitioner.
6. At this juncture, when the Court was inclined to impose further costs, counsel for the Petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition.
7. Dismissed as withdrawn. The pending application is also disposed of.
8. The Court has not commented on the merits of the case.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025

nk