



\$~61

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 19386/2025

SANDIPANI ADHYAPAN MANDIR & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti Kumari and Mr. Pankaj Kumar Roy, Advocates.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, Mr. Hardik Rupal, Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra and Ms. Tripta Sharma, Advocates for NCTE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

22.12.2025

%

1. This writ petition is filed on behalf of the Petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs:-

“i. issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ or order or direction to respondents to update the status of petitioner institute and display its name in the list/category of recognised institutions for conducting PTC (D.El.Ed) course on their official website; and/ or

ii. issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ or order directing respondents to inform affiliating body as well as Department of Higher Education, Government of Gujarat communicating status of petitioner institution as recognized institution for conducting PTC(D.El.Ed) course; and/or

iii. pass any such other orders/directions as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. Issue notice.

3. Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the Respondents.



4. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that Petitioner No. 1 College was established by Petitioner No. 2 and vide orders dated 31.07.2001 and 18.09.2002, recognition was granted for conducting PTC (D.El.Ed) course. However, Respondents are not displaying the name of the College in the list of recognized institutions on their official website. Thus, Petitioners are compelled to approach this Court for a direction to the Respondents to update the status of the institute and display its name in the list of recognized institutions for the said course. It is submitted that in the past, several orders have been passed by this Court issuing similar direction, copies of which have been filed along with the writ petition.

5. Mr. Rupal, learned counsel for the Respondents, on instructions, fairly and candidly submits that Respondents have no objection to the writ petition being allowed, subject to verification by the Respondents.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to verify the status of Petitioner No. 1 Institute and if upon verification the same is found to be a recognized institute, Respondents shall update the status on the website in the list of recognized institutions. Thereafter, communication to this effect will be sent to the affiliating body as well as Department of Higher Education, Government of Gujarat, within a period of two weeks from the date the status is uploaded.

JYOTI SINGH, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025/RW