



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 19378/2025 & CM APPL. 80824/2025

SREE VENKATESWWARA ENTERPRISESPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rajeev Saxena, Sr. Adv.
with Mr. Tushar Sharma, Mr.
Tarun Verma and Ms. Megha
Saxena, Advs.
M: 7053509293
Email:
adv.tusharoffice@gmail.com

versus

**UNION OF INDIA THROUGH MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS &
ANR.**Respondents

Through: Ms. Radhika Bishwajit Dubey, CGSC
with Ms. Gurleen Kaur, Mr. Vivek
Sharma and Mr. Kritarth Upadhyay,
Advs. for R-1
M: 7011431001

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA**

% **ORDER**
22.12.2025

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking directions to the respondents, restraining them from taking further action of e-auctioning or acting upon any fresh tender in respect of the petitioner's Water Vending Machines in Clusters 81 (Guntakal Division) and 86 (Danapur Division), during the subsistence of the petitioner's License Agreements, and pending adjudication of the Show Cause Notice dated 06th May, 2025.



2. There is a further prayer for directions to the respondents, restraining them from engaging in e-auctioning of the petitioner's Water Vending Machines commissioned in the Guntakal Division, along with directions to put the petitioner back into possession of the same, in the same condition.
3. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner points out that the petitioner had earlier approached this Court in *W.P.(C) 15097/2025*, whereby, an order dated 26th September, 2025, was passed by this Court disposing of the said petition, wherein, the respondents had explicitly undertaken that no coercive action would be taken, until a final decision was taken in pursuance of the Show Cause Notice.
4. It is submitted that notwithstanding the said undertaking, the respondents have initiated fresh re-tendering process, through e-auction for Clusters 81 and 86, and intend to re-allot the very units, which are subject matter of the subsisting Licenses. Thus, the present writ petition has been filed on the ground that the actions of the respondents constitute clear breach of the assurance recorded before this Court.
5. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has also filed a petition under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, for appointment of an Arbitrator.
6. Having heard learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner, this Court notes that the petitioner has already invoked the Arbitration Clause, and has initiated action for appointment of an Arbitrator.
7. This Court also takes note of the fact that a Termination Order dated 10th December, 2025, has already been issued against the petitioner. Though the petitioner submits that the said Termination Order is bad in law, and that the various submissions made in the reply to the Show Cause Notice have



not been considered, however, this Court notes that the same would be subject matter of the arbitration proceedings.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is granted liberty to seek his remedies under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

9. Noting the aforesaid, the present petition, along with the pending application, is accordingly disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025/KR