



2025:DHC:11738



\$~38

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of decision: 22.12.2025

+ CRL.M.C. 9126/2025 & CRL.M.A. 38176/2025 EXEMPTION  
FROM FILING CERTIFIED COPIES ETC.

HEMANT KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vicky Jha, Mr. R. K. Jha,  
Advs. with all petitioners in  
person.

versus

STATE (GOVT, OF NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP  
with SI Manish, PS Inderpuri.  
Mr. Anil Devlal, Ms. Rita, Mr.  
Neetu Badolia, Mr. Rakesh  
Tiwari, Advs. for R-2 with R-2  
in person.

CORAM:-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

**JUDGMENT(ORAL)****RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.**

1. This is a petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, seeking quashing of FIR No. 0005/2014, dated 10.01.2014, registered at P.S Inder Puri, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement between the parties.



2025:DHC:11738



2. The factual matrix giving rise to the instant case is that the marriage between Petitioner No.1 and Respondent no. 2/complainant was solemnized on 08.11.2008 as per Hindu Rites and ceremonies at Delhi. One child was born out of the said wedlock. However, on account of temperamental differences Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No. 2 are living separately since 05.03.2012.

3. As per averments made in the FIR, Respondent No. 2 was subjected to physical and mental harassment on account of dowry demands by the petitioner. FIR no. 0005/2014 was lodged at the instance of respondent no. 2 at PS Inder Puri under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC against the petitioners. Chargesheet has been filed.

4. During the course of proceedings, the parties amicably resolved their disputes before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi and the terms of settlement were written in the form of Settlement dated 04.01.2023. It is submitted that petitioner no.1 and respondent no. 2 have obtained divorce on 21.08.2023 and the petitioner no.1 has paid the entire settlement amount of Rs. 1,75,000/- (Rupees One Lac Seventy Five Thousand Only) to respondent no. 2 and the custody of the minor child shall remain with respondent no.2 and the petitioner no.1 shall not claim any visitation/custody rights as per the schedule in the settlement. Copy of the settlement dated 04.01.2023 has been annexed as Annexure P-2.



2025:DHC:11738



5. Parties are physically present before the Court. They have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer SI Manish, from PS Inderpuri.

6. Respondent No. 2 confirms that the matter has been amicably settled with the petitioners without any force, fear, coercion and she has received the entire settlement amount and has no objection if the FIR no. 0005/2014 is quashed against the Petitioners.

7. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned Additional PP appearing for the State, also has no objection if the present FIR no. 0005/2014 is quashed.

8. Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable settlement of disputes in *Rangappa Javoor vs The State Of Karnataka And Another*, Diary No. 33313/2019, 2023 LiveLaw (SC) 74, *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr.*, (2013) 4 SCC 58 & in *Gian Singh vs State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303.

9. Further, it is settled that the inherent powers under section 482 of the Code are required to be exercised to secure the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process of any court. Further, the High Court can quash non-compoundable offences after considering the nature of the offence and the amicable settlement between the concerned parties. Supreme Court and this Court have repeatedly held that the cases arising out of matrimonial differences should be put to a quietus



2025:DHC:11738



if the parties have reached an amicable settlement. Reliance may be placed upon *B.S. Joshi v. State of Haryana, (2003) 4 SCC*.

10. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion, it would be in the interest of justice, to quash the above mentioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

11. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the 0005/2014, dated 10.01.2014, registered at P.S Inder Puri, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and all the other consequential proceeding emanating therefrom is hereby quashed.

12. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

13. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**RAVINDER DUDEJA, J**

**December 22, 2025**

**MA/lks**