



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P. (MISC.) 44/2025

ANMOL SOLUTIONS PVT LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pranay Lakhanpal, Adv.

versus

FORTUM INDIA PVT LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ankit Premchandani, Ms. Smriti Shukla and Mr. Vedant Goel, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

19.12.2025

%

1. The present Petition under Section 29A (4) & (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. Material on record indicates that an Arbitral Tribunal was constituted by this Court *vide* Order dated 19.12.2023 in ARB.P. 745/2023. The mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal was extended for six months by way of mutual consent of the parties till 14.11.2025. Since the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has expired on 14.11.2025, the present Petition has been filed for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
3. It is stated that in the arbitration, evidence of the last witness of the Respondent is pending.
4. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, 2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494, has held that an



application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A (4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.

5. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to regularize the period from 14.11.2025 till today and extend the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal till 30.09.2026, so that the award can be pronounced.

6. The petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 19, 2025

Prateek