



\$~89

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9090/2025**

RAMBIR BAISLA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Nidhi Lakra, Mr. Nirdesh Bidhuri, Ms. Anjali Yadav, Ms. Tanya Chaudhary and Ms. Shweta Sandaliya, Advocates alongwith petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for the State with SI Vivek Kumar P.S. Badarpur
Ms. Vaishnavi Soni, Ms. Simran Singh, Ms. Komal, Mr. Sagar Tanwar and Ms. Prachi, Advocates for R-2 alongwith R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

19.12.2025

CRL.M.A. 38051/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 9090/2025

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 95/2022, registered at Police Station Badarpur, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 308/195A/34 of the Indian



Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter ‘IPC’) and its consequential proceedings.

4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Badarpur, Delhi.

6. Brief facts of the present case are that on 08.02.2022, an altercation took place between the petitioners and respondent no. 2, upon which, respondent no. 2 had filed a complaint against the petitioners before the concerned Police Station, culminated into the present FIR. It is stated that chargesheet in this case stands filed before the concerned Court. During pendency of the case, both the parties have amicably settled their disputes *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 07.11.2025.

7. As per the MLC, the injuries sustained by respondent no. 2 are simple in nature.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states he has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 95/2022, registered at Police Station



Badarpur, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 308/195A/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, subject to the petitioners depositing a sum of Rs.8,000/- each with the District and Sessions Courts Employees Welfare Association Fund and the compliance report of the same be filed with the Registry of this Court within a period of two weeks from date.

11. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.
12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 19, 2025/ns
GJ