



\$~2 & 11 (Special Bench)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 1168/2024 & CM APPL. 69406/2024

AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIAAppellant

Through: Mr. Digvijay Rai, SC with Mr.
Archit Mishra, Adv.

versus

SHRISTI INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTD & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Vijay K. Singh, Adv. for R-
1.
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, SPC for
UOI.

11

+ LPA 63/2025

SHRISTI INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTDAppellant

Through: Mr. Vijay K. Singh, Adv.

versus

AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Digvijay Rai, SC with Mr.
Archit Mishra, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, SPC for
UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

20.02.2026

%

**CM APPL. 11919/2026 (For Modifications of Judgment dated
24.12.2025) in LPA 1168/2024**



CM APPL. 12055/2026 (For Modifications of Judgment dated 24.11.2025 in LPA 63/2025)

1. The present applications, under Section 151 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908, have been filed in both Appeals, seeking identically worded prayers, which read as under:

“i. Allow the present application and direct correction/rectification of the typographical/clerical error in the judgment dated 24.12.2025 passed in LPA No. 63 of 2025 and LPA No. 1168 of 2024 in terms of paragraph 3 above; and/or”

2. Both the captioned Appeals were disposed of *vide* common Judgement dated 24.12.2025 passed by this Court, of which paragraph no.50 reads as under:

“50. In view of the foregoing discussion and the conclusions recorded hereinabove, we find that LPA No. 1168/2024 preferred by the AAI merits acceptance. Accordingly, the said Appeal is allowed, and the finding of the learned Single Judge holding the impugned communication dated 08.02.2019 to be cryptic, mechanical, and vitiated by non-application of mind, is set aside.”

3. It has been pointed out that in the aforesaid paragraph, there is an inadvertent error as the letter dated is actually 08.03.2019, instead of 08.02.2019.

4. Considering the averment made in the applications and verifying from the record, this Court finds merit in the said applications. Therefore, in view of the same, the said applications are allowed.

5. Consequently, paragraph no.50 of the Judgement dated 24.12.2025 shall now be read as under:

“50. In view of the foregoing discussion and the conclusions recorded hereinabove, we find that LPA No. 1168/2024 preferred by the AAI merits acceptance. Accordingly, the said Appeal is allowed, and the finding of the learned Single Judge holding the impugned communication dated 08.03.2019 to be cryptic, mechanical, and vitiated by non-application of mind, is set aside.”



6. The present applications are accordingly disposed of in the above terms.
7. This order shall be treated as a corrigendum to the common Judgement dated 24.12.2025.
8. A photocopy of the Order passed today be kept in the connected matter.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
FEBRUARY 20, 2026/sp