



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 1034/2025**

UNITED CONSTRUCTIONS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M. Tarique Siddiqui, Mr.
Abhishek Kumar Tanwar, Ms.
Lakshmi & Mr. Mohd. Faisal Khan,
Advs.

versus

DTE GEN MD ACCODMMODATION PROJECTS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Shiva Lakshmi & Mr. Madhav
Bajaj, Advs.
Ms Monika Arora, CGSC Mr Vikrant
Malwal, GP for UOI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **18.12.2025**

I.A. 31784/2025 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 1034/2025

1. The present petition under Section 29A (4) & (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been filed by the Petitioner seeking extension of the mandate of the learned Sole Arbitrator.
2. It is stated that the Petitioner intends to file an application under Order XI Rule 12 and 14 of the CPC for bringing on record certain documents on record which according to the Petitioner would be necessary in the adjudication of the case and more particularly regarding the policy blacklisting of PE-AL-PE Pipes. He states that even otherwise, the matter is at the final stage of the arguments.
3. Material on record indicates that the pleadings in the arbitration



proceedings were completed on 06.09.2023 and the parties by mutual consent extended the mandate of the learned Sole Arbitrator for a period of six months which has come to an end on 06.03.2025. Thereafter, the Petitioner approached this Court by filing OMP(MISC)(COMM) 349/2025 wherein this Court *vide* Order dated 09.05.2025 extended the mandate of the learned Arbitrator for a further period of six months which came to an end on 08.11.2025.

4. It is stated that since the mandate of the learned Sole Arbitrator has expired, the present petition has been filed seeking extension of mandate of the learned Sole Arbitrator.

5. Learned Counsel for the Respondent states that the Respondent does not have any objection to the extension of mandate of the learned Sole Arbitrator.

6. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.

7. In view of the fact that the matter is at the final stage of arguments, this Court is inclined to extend the mandate of the learned Sole Arbitrator for a period of six months from today so that the award can be pronounced. Further, the period from 08.11.2024 till today is regularized.

8. The petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 18, 2025/hsk