



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 4167/2025

MOHD. IMRAN & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **16.12.2025**

1. A Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners seeking to quash the FIR No.295/2023 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3 & 4 D.P Act at Police Station Jyoti Nagar, Delhi.

2. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 on 18.12.2016 according to Muslim rites and ceremonies. It is stated that a female child Sara was born out of the said wedlock on 13.09.2017. Due to temperamental differences, the Petitioner and Respondent No.2 started residing separately.

3. It is further submitted that on the complaint of respondent No. 2, an FIR bearing No. 295/2023 under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal



Code, 1860 Section 3 & 4 D.P Act got registered at Police Station Jyoti Nagar.

4. It is stated that during the trial, both the parties amicably settled all the disputes and differences with the intervention of respectable persons and common relatives vide Compromise Deed dated 22.04.2025 and it was *inter alia* settled between the parties that the respondent No. 2/wife and the petitioner No. 1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by Talaqnama. It is stated that petitioner No. 1/husband shall pay a sum of Rs. 2,50,000/- to the respondent No. 2/wife towards full and final amount of all the claims of the respondent No. 2/wife. It is also stated that the petitioner No. 1 has already paid Rs. 1,50,000 to respondent No. 2/wife.

5. It is further stated that the remaining amount of Rs. 1,00,000/- shall be paid by the petitioner No. 1/husband at the time of quashing of FIR No. 295/2023. It is also stated that the child shall remain in the custody of Respondent No. 2/wife.

6. It is also stated that on 05.06.2025, the marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 had been dissolved as per Muslim law.

7. In view of the Compromise Deed dated 22.04.2025, the present Petition has been filed.

8. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily.



9. The balance amount of Rs. 1,00,000/- has been paid to the respondent No. 2/wife by the petitioner No. 1 today in the Court, and the same has been confirmed by the respondent No. 2/wife.

10. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* Compromise Deed dated 22.04.2025 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

11. The present petition has been signed by all the parties and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at *vide* Compromise Deed dated 22.04.2025 and they also submit that the said Compromise Deed has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.

12. Today, the complainant/respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in Court, states that she has received all amounts due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

13. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, I am of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

14. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



15. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 295/2023 registered at Police Station Jyoti Nagar, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3 & 4 D.P Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

16. It is made clear that the above Settlement is without prejudice to the rights and entitlements of the child.

17. The Petition stands disposed of along with the pending Application(s).

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

DECEMBER 16, 2025/va