



\$~43

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 19038/2025**

**RAJ KUMAR ARORA**

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Zahid Hanief, Adv.**

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND ANR**

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. M. Anand Jaitely, Adv. for R-1/MCD**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER**

**16.12.2025**

%

**CM APPL. 79287/2025 (for exemption)**

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

**W.P.(C) 19038/2025**

1. Counsel appearing for the Municipal Corporation of Delhi (**MCD**) has raised preliminary objections that the claim sought to be canvassed in petition is stale.
2. The fact remains that the claim which is sought to be canvassed in the petition is based on an incident of 2021, whereas the petitioner is claiming that he was pursuing his representation with respondent.
3. We see no reason to cause interference in the extraordinary jurisdiction, particularly on the issue of restoration of possession to the



petitioner, as claim appears to be time barred.

4. However, in case petitioner intends to carry out vending activity in accordance with the terms of Certificate of Vending, we see no reason for respondent to cause any interference in such activity.

5. As regards the claim of petitioner for allotment of alternate site, it is open for petitioner to approach respondent, which request or representation, if so made, shall be considered in accordance with law, expeditiously.

6. With above observation, petition stands disposed of.

7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

**NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J**

**ANISH DAYAL, J**

**DECEMBER 16, 2025/sm/tk**