



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P. (MISC.) 42/2025**

M/S GREAT INDIA CONSTRUCTION LLP

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arjun Sawhney, Mr. Vibhor Kapoor, Mr. Arnav Gosain and Mr. Rudra, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Dr. Ishaan Swarana Sharma, Special Panel Counsel with Ms. Shambhavi Sharma, Government Pleader, Mr. Ayush, Mr. Shubham Shukla and Mr. Mukund, Advs. for R-1
Mr. Shivam Goel, Mr. Govind Kumar, Mr. Anil Kumar Goyal, Ms. Ramya S. Goel and Ms. Sanya Sharma, Advs. for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **16.12.2025**

I.A. 31413/2025 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

O.M.P. (MISC.) 42/2025 & I.A. 31414/2025

1. This is a petition under Section 29A of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act for extension of mandate of the arbitrator for making the arbitral award.
2. The facts of the case reveal that the lands of the Petitioner situated in Village Bijwasan, Tehsil Kapashera, South-West, Delhi, were sought to be



acquired by the Respondent/NHAI for construction of the Dwarka Expressway.

3. It is stated that disputes have arisen between the parties for award of compensation under various Land Awards. A perusal of the proceedings indicates that an Arbitrator has been appointed under the National Highways Act, 1956. However, the stage of the arbitration is not known.

4. The list of dates as filed by the Petitioner also indicates that certain writ petitions have been filed before this Court, which are pending adjudication.

5. In view of the fact that an Arbitrator has already been appointed for adjudication of the disputes and assuming, from the material available on record that the arbitration is only at a preliminary stage, with the consent of the learned Counsel for the parties, this Court is inclined to extend the mandate of the Arbitrator up to 30.06.2026.

6. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be. A

7. The petition is disposed of along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 16, 2025

hsk