



\$~145

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 75/2025 & CM APPL. 3581/2025**
SHAHABUDDINPetitioner

Through: Mr. Yash Narayan Trivedi with
Mr. Anubhav Dubey,
Mr. Sachidanand Chaudhary,
Advocates.

versus

NAVEEN AGGARWAL & ORS.Respondents
Through: Ms. Sakshi Popli, Standing Counsel
for MCD/respondent Nos.1 and 2
with Mr. Avinash Kasawatiya, JE,
Central Zone.
Mr. Manish Kumar, SPC for
respondent Nos.3 and 4

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
21.01.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for respondents appears on advance notice and submits that earlier partial demolition had taken place by puncturing the roof of the top floor. She also submits that partial sealing of the fourth floor has also been done and as per the instructions, some action related to demolition, etc. is slated for today also. She further submits that the photographs placed on record from the side of the petitioner are not the recent one.
2. After hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the above said statement made by learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2, the petitioner, at the



moment, is not interested in pursuing with his contempt petition. He, however, submits that in case there is no requisite action, he may be permitted to file contempt petition afresh.

3. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as not pressed. Liberty, as prayed, is granted.

4. However, respondent Nos.1 and 2 shall keep the petitioner updated about the proposed action and would also keep him in loop, solely because of the fact that the action against the alleged unauthorised construction was directed to be taken on his petition only.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 21, 2025
st