



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 1016/2025

DEEPAK CABLES (INDIA) LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aditya Verma Mr. K. Rigved
Prasad and Ms. Parkhi Rai Advs.

versus

POWER GRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Azmat H. Amanullah and Ms.
Nitya Sharma, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **12.12.2025**

I.As. 31225-27/2025 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 1016/2025

1. The present petition under Section 29A(4) & (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. Material on record indicates that an Arbitral Tribunal was constituted on 28.06.2018. The mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has been extended from time to time and the last such extension was granted by this Court *vide* Order dated 10.12.2024 in O.M.P.(MISC.) (COMM.) 955/2024 by which the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal was extended till 07.12.2025. It is stated that the arbitration proceedings are at the stage of final arguments and,



therefore, the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal be extended.

3. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.

4. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to regularize the period from 07.12.2025 till today and extend the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal till 30.06.2026, so that the award can be pronounced.

5. The petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 12, 2025

Rahul