



2025:DHC:11856



\$~9 & 10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
% *Date of Decision: December 23rd, 2025*
+ **CRL.M.C. 8891/2025**
SH. SANJEEV CHOPRA
& ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sumit Kumar
Chauhan, Advs. with P-1,2
& 4 in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI
& ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh
Chauhan, APP for the
State
SI Lalchand, PS- S.B.
Dairy
Ms. Neha, Adv.
R2 in person

10

+ **CRL.M.C. 8913/2025**
SH. MADAN SINGH BISHT
AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Neha, Adv.
Petitioners in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI
AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP
for the State
SI Ajay Kumar, PS-
Prasad Nagar
SI Ram Singh, PS- S.B.
Dairy
Mr. Sumit Kumar
Chauhan, Adv. for R2
R2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

Signature Not Verified

Signed By: DEEPA ANSHU
Signing Date: 27.12.2025
16:48:22

CRL.M.C. 8891/2025 & CRL.M.C. 8913/2025**Page 1 of 5**



2025:DHC:11856



AMIT MAHAJAN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petitions are filed seeking quashing of cross-FIRs, being, FIR No. 0931/2022 and FIR No. 0930/2022 both dated 13.12.2022 for offences punishable under Sections 323/341/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'), registered at Police Station Shahbad Dairy, including all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.
2. The FIR No. 0931/2022 in CRL.M.C. 8891/2025 was registered on the complaint made by Complainant/Mr. Madan Bisht, alleging that on 12.12.2022, at around 02:00 PM, when he was sitting in his book shop, Sh. Sanjeev Chopra, his wife Smt. Sushma Chopra and their son Ram, along with their two servants namely Ramesh and Kaliya, barged into his shop and gave beatings to Respondent No. 2 and his wife, due to which they sustained minor injuries.
3. The FIR No. 0930/2022 in CRL.M.C. 8913/2025 was registered on the complaint made by Complainant/Smt. Sushma Chopra, alleging that on 11.12.2022, when her son Ram, aged 13 years old, had gone to play near the shop of Sh. Madan Bisht, he got angry at the child, abused him verbally and also misbehaved with their helper, Ramesh. Thus, on 12.12.2022, when the complainant went to the Book shop of Sh. Madan Bisht, along with her husband/Sh. Sanjeev Chopra, to confront him, Sh. Madan Bisht got aggressive, blocked their way, gave beatings to her, her husband and also to Ramesh.
4. It has been informed that Chargesheet only in FIR No. 931/2022 has been filed.

Signature Not Verified

Signed By: DEEPA ANSHU
Signing Date: 27.12.2025
16:48:22**CRL.M.C. 8891/2025 & CRL.M.C. 8913/2025****Page 2 of 5**



2025:DHC:11856



5. The present petitions are filed on the ground that the matters are amicably settled between the parties by way of the Settlement Agreement/Compromise Deed dated 03.12.2025 out of their own free will, without any threat, force, coercion, misrepresentation, or influence. The same also records that though the parties had already orally settled their disputes on 13.12.2022 itself.

6. Petitioner Nos. 1, 2 & 5 and Respondent No. 2/Complainant- Sh. Madan Bisht in CRL.M.C. 8891/2025 and the petitioners and Respondent No. 2/Complainant- Smt. Sushma Chopra in CRL.M.C. 8913/2025 are present in person in Court today. They have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.

7. The complainants, in the respective cases, on being asked, state that all the parties involved in the incident are neighbours. owing to certain personal misunderstandings, a verbal altercation ensued between the parties and what initially began as a heated exchange of words escalated in the spur of the moment, and during the ensuing commotion, both sides sustained minor injuries which led to the registration of the above FIRs. However, now that they have decided to bury all the disputes, both the complainants do not wish to pursue the proceedings arising out of the respective FIRs registered by them, and they have no objection if the proceedings are quashed.

8. The parties unconditionally apologize for their behaviour and undertake not to indulge into any such activity in future. The parties are bound to the said undertaking.

Signature Not Verified

Signed By: DEEPA ANSHU
Signing Date: 27.12.2025
16:48:22**CRL.M.C. 8891/2025 & CRL.M.C. 8913/2025****Page 3 of 5**



2025:DHC:11856



9. They submit that they have no remaining grievance and wish to live their lives peacefully in the future. The same is also duly supported by the respective affidavits of no-objection filed by the complainants.

10. Offences under Sections 323/341 and 506 of the IPC are compoundable in nature.

11. In the present matters, it emerges that the parties are acquaintances and reside in the same neighbourhood. Owing to certain personal misunderstandings, a verbal altercation arose which, in the heat of the moment, escalated into a brief commotion during which both sides sustained minor injuries. However, the parties have amicably settled their disputes, and both the complainants have expressed their unwillingness to pursue the proceedings arising out of the respective FIRs. In the peculiar circumstances of these cases, it is unlikely that the present FIRs will result in conviction when the victims do not wish to pursue the cases. In such circumstances, continuation of the proceedings would only cause undue harassment and heartburn amongst parties, who have otherwise decided to live peacefully.

12. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offences.

13. Keeping in view the nature of the dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse



2025:DHC:11856



of the process of Court. I am of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise discretionary jurisdiction under Section 528 of the BNSS.

14. However, keeping in mind the fact that the chargesheet have already been filed and the state machinery has been put to motion, ends of justice would be served if the petitioners are put to cost.

15. In view of the above, FIR No. 0931/2022 and 0930/2022, including all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, subject to payment of total cost of ₹15,000/- by the Petitioners (in CRL.M.C. 8891/2025), to be deposited with the Delhi Police Welfare Society.

16. The present petitions are allowed in the aforesaid terms.

17. Pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

18. A copy of the order be placed in both the matters.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025

"SS"