



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 17/2025**

D

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arjun Malik, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh,
APP for the State.

ASI Jyoti, PS South
Rohini.

Mr. R.S. Malik, Mr. Sahil
Malik, Mr. Abhishek
Kumar, Mr. Sahil Lakra,
Mr. Aditya Jain, Mr.
Ayush Khatri & Mr.
Vikrant Beniwal, Adv.
for R-2 to R-7.

Mr. Nakul Khatri & Mr.
Vishal Bhardwaj, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

09.07.2025

%

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset, on the instructions, states that he is not pressing for summoning of Respondent Nos. 3 to 8 for offences under Section 376(2)(n)/377/313/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC').
2. He submits that the facts which have emerged during the course of the investigation and trial indicate that the Respondent Nos. 3 to 8 have deceived the petitioner and fraudulently induced her to enter into a settlement and withdraw the original complaint.
3. He seeks liberty to file an appropriate application before the learned Trial Court seeking summoning of Respondent No. 3



to 8 under the relevant provisions and withdrawal of the present petition.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application before the learned Trial Court.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not given any opinion on the merits of the dispute or the arguments raised by the petitioner and the application as and when filed shall be decided in accordance with the law after giving opportunity to the respondents.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

JULY 9, 2025
“SK”