



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 365/2025**

SH. SUBHASH CHAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Rajan Sharma, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION

COLLECTOR SOUTH EAST & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, standing
counsel for LAC with Mrs K.K. Kiran
Pathak, Mr Sunil Kumar Jha and Mr
M.S. Akhtar, Advocates.

Mr Sahaj Karan Singh, Advocate for
DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

% **03.02.2025**

CM APPL. 1770/2025

1. Exemption is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 365/2025

3. Issue notice.
4. The learned counsel appearing for respondents accept notice.
5. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, praying as under:

“(i) Issue Writ of Mandamus and any other appropriate suitable writ(s), order(s), and/or direction(s) to the respondents to pay the Enhance compensation amount in term of order dated 31.03.2022 under section 28A of land



acquisition act the acquired land bearing Khasra No. 929 (06-04), 637(06-07), 493(3-09), 507(07-02), 999(1-03), 523(08-16), 975(06-05), 976(06-17), 978(01-02), 979(1-04), 1259/905(14-13), 981(06-02), 927(8-10), 911(12-11), 915(04-15), 950(4-0), 956(07-04), 1010(05-03), 1030(04-08), 1036(3-19), 1037(03-10), 1051(9-18), 656 (03-14), 1004(01-15), 627(4-15), 628(4-10), 631(2-13), 623(05-05), 878(01-08), 879(1-08), 992(1-08), 874(01-09), 989(1-05), 996(1-08), 875(1-07), 883(1-17), 984(02-01), 997(1-14), 882(1-10), 993(01-04), 893(0-13), 635(02-18), 513(1-01), 987(02-10), 945(02-03), 1070(10-08), 1078(02-01), 1087(4-03), 1069(11-01) and 1068(8-10) situated in the revenue estate of village Kilokari, New Delhi, to the extent of his respective share, acquired vide Award No. 14/1992-93 of Village Kilokari, New Delhi in accordance with law to the petitioner.”

6. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent/LAC states that the compensation payable to the petitioner under Section 28A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 in respect of subject lands has also been determined.
7. Further, in terms of the order dated 31.03.2022, directions have also been issued by the LAC to raise the demand for compensation so that the same can be disbursed to the petitioner in terms of the judgment dated 01.11.2006 passed by the concerned court.
8. The petitioner’s grievance is that despite the order under Section 28A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 being passed in its favour, the amount has not been disbursed.
9. Mr Pathak states that the accounts between the DDA and LAC are being reconciled and the amounts as due to the petitioner in terms of the order dated 31.03.2022 would be disbursed shortly.
10. In view of the above, we dispose of the present petition by directing



the LAC to disburse the amount due to the petitioner along with all other statutory benefits as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of twelve weeks from date.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

FEBRUARY 03, 2025
RK

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)