



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.APP.(C) 1/2025 & CM APPL. 1105/2025**

**SH SANJAY GARG SECRETARY ICAR
AND ANR**

.....Appellants

Through: Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Praveen Swaroop, Mr. Prahlad Balaji,
Ms. Simran Gupta, Mr. Varun Sharma
and Ms. S. Singh, Advs.

versus

**IARI STENOGRAPHER S WELFARE
ASSOCIATION**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. S. Vinay Patnakar and Mr. Alok
Raj, Advs.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER
15.10.2025**

%

1. Since we are of the considered view that the present appeal under Sub-section (1) of Section 19 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is not maintainable, particularly having regard to the observations made by the Apex Court in ***Midnapore Peoples' Coop. Bank Ltd. and Others v. Chunilal Nanda and Others*** (2006) 5 SCC 399, the learned counsel appearing for the appellants, on instructions, made a prayer for withdrawal of the present appeal.
2. As such, the present appeal stands disposed of as withdrawn.



3. We make it clear that we have not gone into the merits of the case, nor have we appreciated the same.
4. It shall be open to the appellants to take recourse to such remedy as may be permissible and available in law.
5. While pursuing such remedy, the time spent before this Court in prosecuting the present appeal be considered in case the issue of limitation crops up.
6. As prayed by *Mr. Sen*, learned senior counsel for the appellants, since the interim order has been in operation for a period of about ten months, the same is continued for a further period of four weeks from today.
7. Pending application also stands disposed of.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

OCTOBER 15, 2025/sky/sk