



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 74/2025**

RANJAY YADAV AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anshul Pratap Singh, Adv.
(through VC)

Petitioners in person.

versus

**STATE (GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI) &
ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for State.

PSI Ritika, PS K.N. Katju Marg

Mr. Navdeep Garg, Adv. for R-2 along with R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

06.11.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR No.149/2019 registered at Police Station – K.N. Katju Marg for the offences punishable under Sections 354/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 21.04.2019, respondent no. 2 alleged that petitioner no.1, who is the brother-in-law of respondent no. 2, along with her father-in-law, petitioner no. 2, came to her house in Rohini, quarreled with her and her husband over a property dispute, and during the



altercation, petitioner no. 1 molested her, threatened to kill her family and used abusive language, leading to the registration of the present FIR.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that charges have been framed and the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Compromise deed dated 28.02.2024 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P4. *Qua* this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No.149/2019 registered at Police Station – K.N. Katju Marg against the petitioners.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – K.N. Katju Marg. Respondent no.2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. She further submits that she does not wish to pursue the present proceedings any further and seeks to put a quietus to the same, as she intends to move forward in her life.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the dispute between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 stands amicably resolved without any threat, coercion, or undue influence, and respondent no. 2 has unequivocally stated that she does not wish to pursue the proceedings any further, this Court is of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by allowing the criminal proceedings to continue.

12. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

14. Considering the terms of settlement, submissions made and the conduct of parties, the petitioners are directed to pay a sum of ₹5,000/- each to respondent no. 2. The amount shall be remitted through demand draft or bank transfer within a period of one week from today, and compliance affidavit shall be filed before the Registry within one week thereafter. The IO shall verify the factum of payment made to respondent no. 2 and submit a verification report to the Registry.

15. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No.149/2019 registered at Police Station – K.N. Katju Marg, for offences punishable under Sections 354/506/509/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioners, subject to



payment and verification as directed above.

16. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 6, 2025/AS/dd