



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 45/2025 & CRL.M.A. 214/2025**

ANSHIKA @ ANSHU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for the State
with SI Sanjeeta, PS-Mukherjee
Nagar.

Mr. Vishal Bhardwaj, Advocate for
respondent no.2 with respondent no.2
in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH

ORDER

06.03.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed on behalf of the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR bearing No. 0322/2016 dated 9th March, 2016 registered at Police Station - Mukherjee Nagar, Delhi for offences punishable under Sections 323/506/354/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The Joint Registrar (Judicial) vide order dated 17th January, 2025 has verified the facts and details of the instant matter.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on account of



certain misunderstanding between the petitioner and the respondent no.2, a complaint was lodged against the petitioner by the respondent no.2, which resulted in registration of the instant FIR.

4. It is submitted that with the intervention of family members and relatives, both the parties entered into settlement on 5th December, 2024. The terms and conditions of the said settlement are mentioned in the settlement deed which is annexed as Annexure P-2 to the petition.

5. Therefore, it is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the said settlement and in accordance with the settled position of law as posited by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. At this juncture, the petitioner appearing in-person also undertakes to not repeat the same conduct in the future.

6. Mr. Satish Kumar, learned APP for the State submitted that in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, he has no objection to the instant petition being allowed.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer. The respondent No.2/complainant is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On the query made by this Court, respondent no.2/complainant has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by respondent No.2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties vide the aforesaid settlement and she does not wish to pursue the aforesaid FIR any further.



10. Keeping in view the fact that parties have settled the matter as well as undertaking given by the petitioner, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, FIR bearing No. 0322/2016 dated 9th March, 2016 registered at Police Station - Mukherjee Nagar, Delhi for offences punishable under Sections 323/506/354/34 of the IPC and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. The petition stands disposed of alongwith pending applications, if any.

CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J

MARCH 6, 2025

NA/anr

Click here to check corrigendum, if any