



\$~82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 42/2025 & CRL.M.A. 199/2025**

SH VIKRAM SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Adv. with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for the
State with Ms. Mansi Sharma, Adv.
SI Ghanshyam, P.S. Wazirabad.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

24.04.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Section 528 of the BNSS seeks quashing of FIR No. 0022/2019, under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Wazirabad, Delhi and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet filed and pending before the Court of Ms. Pooja Yadav, Judicial Magistrate First Class-03, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.
3. The marriage between the petitioner No.1/husband and the respondent no.2/wife was solemnized on 21.02.2018 as per Hindu Rites and Customs and no child was born out of the said wedlock.
4. Due to matrimonial differences between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2, the parties resided separately from 01.04.2018.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 30/04/2025 at 15:57:09



Subsequently, respondent no.2/complainant lodged an FIR against petitioner no. 1 (husband), petitioner no. 2, petitioner no. 3 (mother-in-law), petitioner no. 4 (brother-in-law) and petitioner no. 5 (father-in-law).

5. On 05.10.2023, parties arrived at a settlement before learned Principal Judge, Family Courts, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi and as per the said settlement deed, petitioner no.1 has agreed to pay an amount of Rs.5,50,000/- to the respondent no.2/wife towards full and final settlement of all her claims including *istridhan*, permanent alimony and maintenance - present, past and future.

6. Petitioners and respondent no. 2 appears in person and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer, SI Ghanshyam, P.S. Wazirabad as well as their respective counsels.

7. The matter was also placed before the learned Joint Registrar who has recorded the statements of both the parties and passed the following order on 20.03.2025:-

“1. The present non contentious petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of the FIR NO. 0022/2019 Under Sections 498/W406/34 of the Indian Penal Code registered at P.S. Wazirabad, Delhi on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.

2. As per the submissions, the matter between the petitioners and R-2 has been amicably settled.

3. Vide separate statement recorded in this behalf, petitioners stated that dispute between them and R-2 has been amicably settled as per the settlement deed dated 20.10.2023. The settlement has been arrived at between the parties herein without any force, coercion, undue influence and pressure. They have signed the settlement deed with their wish and will. Vide separate statement recorded in this behalf, R-2 stated that dispute between her and petitioners has been amicably settled as per the

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 30/04/2025 at 15:57:09



settlement deed dated 20.10.2023. The settlement has been arrived at between the parties herein without any force, coercion, undue influence and pressure. She has signed the settlement deed with her wish and will.

4. Investigating Officer is present in Court and has duly verified the identity of both the parties. Vide separate statement recorded in this behalf, Investigating Officer stated that the charge sheet has been filed in the present matter. He identified the prosecutrix/victim/R-2. He has also identified the petitioners who are accused in the present matter. He has also verified the settlement deed executed between the parties.

5. The parties along with their counsels have confirmed that the settlement deed has been duly entered into between them.

6. Learned counsel for State/APP enters appearance and accepts notice. He submits that in view of the statement recorded, let the matter be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

7. The compromise/settlement deed is in writing and has been duly signed by both the parties. I have heard both the parties and from the direct dialogue with both the parties, it is observed that the consent of both the parties is found to be genuine and has not been obtained under undue influence or pressure.

8. In view of the above, matter be placed before the Hon'ble Court on 24.04.2025.”

8. The Complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and she has no objection if the FIR is quashed against the petitioners. She further states that all the terms of the settlement have been complied with.

9. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

10. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303, Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. In other words, the High Court must consider whether it

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 30/04/2025 at 15:57:09



would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceedings.”

11. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 0022/2019, under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Wazirabad, Delhi and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet filed and pending before the Court of Ms. Pooja Yadav, Judicial Magistrate First Class-03, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.

12. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 0022/2019, under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Wazirabad, Delhi and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet filed and pending before the Court of Ms. Pooja Yadav, Judicial Magistrate First Class-03, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, is hereby quashed.

13. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

14. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

APRIL 24, 2025/nk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 30/04/2025 at 15:57:09