



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 19/2025**
OMBIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Krishan Kumar Sharma,
Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vivek Sharma, SPC with
Ms. Prerna Singh and Mr.
Kapil Dev Yadav, Advs. and
Mr. Ajay Pal, Law Officer,
CRPF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

%

07.01.2025

CM APPL. 50/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 19/2025

2. By this petition, the petitioner prays for the following reliefs:-

“a. Issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus or any such order by directing the Respondent No. 2 to 5 for calling the basic pay fixation record of the Petitioner HC/DVR Ombir Singh Force No. 913129908 from the joining to till date in order to rectify the basic pay scale of the Petitioner in interest of justice.

b. To issue a writ of mandamus or any such order by directing the Respondent No. 2 to 5 to re-fix/correct the pension of the Petitioner similar to that of his similarly placed personnel/juniors;



c. To issue the writ of mandamus or any such order directing the Respondent No. 2 to 5 to grant all the subsequent benefits arising therefrom to the petitioner and the respective arrears after re-fixation of his basic pay scale be granted to the petitioner along with his pension.

d. Any other order/relief/direction as the Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.”

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 07.10.2024 to the respondent no. 5, however, has not received any response thereto.

4. Keeping in view the nature of the relief prayed for, and having heard the learned counsels for the parties, we direct that the respondents shall consider the contents of the present petition as a representation of the petitioner and decide the same by way of a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from today. In case the petitioner is entitled to the relief claimed or any part thereof, a consequential order shall also be passed by the respondents within the same period.

5. In case, the order passed by the respondents is adverse to the interest of the petitioner, the petitioner shall be entitled to challenge the same in accordance with law.

6. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

JANUARY 7, 2025/ss/B

Click here to check corrigendum, if any