



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 6/2025**

MANJEET

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Gunjan Sinha Jain (DHCLSC)
and Mr. Akshay Kumar, Advocates

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC (Crl)
with Mr. Arjit Sharma and Mr.
Nikunj Bindal, Advocates and Isnp.
Virender Singh, PS R.K. Puram

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH

ORDER

%

07.01.2025

CRL.M.A. 38/2025 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 6/2025

1. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been preferred by the petitioners for the following reliefs:

“A. Issue a Writ in the nature of mandamus Directing the Respondent to release the Petitioner on parole for a period of period of 02(Two) Months to maintain social ties and family relation and to curb inner stress and depression due to incarceration.

B. Pass any other order/orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”



2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since more than 15 years and therefore, he wishes to re-establish social ties and combat stress and depression due to the long incarceration. Therefore, it is prayed that the instant petition may be allowed.

3. Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, learned Additional Standing Counsel (Crl.) for the State appearing on advance notice has handed over a copy of communication dated 26th December, 2024 sent by the Deputy Secretary (Home) to the Superintendent-II, Prison Head Quarters, New Delhi.

4. Learned ASC for the State submitted that the petitioner has sought for the relief of parole before the concerned jail authority during the pendency of the instant petition, in which the same relief has been sought by the petitioner. However, vide the aforesaid communication dated 26th December, 2024, the same was rejected by the Hon'ble Lieutenant Governor of Delhi. It is further submitted that the said rejection order has not been challenged by the petitioner.

5. In light of the aforementioned submissions, it is prayed that the instant petition may be dismissed.

6. Heard learned ASC for the State and perused the record as well as the communication dated 26th December, 2024, which is taken on record.

7. Upon perusal of the aforesaid communication dated 26th December, 2024, this Court finds that the prayer sought by the petitioner in the instant case has already been sought before the concerned police authority i.e., granting the relief of parole. However, it is observed that the same has been rejected by the Hon'ble Lt. Governor of Delhi and the petitioner has the liberty to challenge the said order communicated vide communication dated



26th December, 2024 before the appropriate Court in accordance with the law.

8. In view of above, this Court does not find any reason to keep the present writ petition pending for adjudication. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach appropriate forum as per law.

CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J

JANUARY 7, 2025

Rt/mk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any