



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 10/2025**

YOGESH VERMA & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M. Mukul, Ms. Tripti Saxena,
Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Adv.

Versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Priyanka Dalal, APP
ASI S. Kumar Tyagi, PS Dayalpur
Mr. Sanjay Soni, Adv. for R2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER
07.01.2025

%

1. This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No. 427/2021, dated 03.09.2021, registered at PS Dayal Pur under Sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, if any.
2. During the pendency of the proceedings, the parties have arrived at a settlement on 31.01.2024 before the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Karkardooma, whereby the petitioner No. 1 agreed to pay a total sum of Rs. 1.2 lakhs to respondent No. 2 as full and final settlement.
3. Out of the total amount of Rs 1.2 lakhs, a sum of Rs 80,000/- has already been paid and the balance sum of Rs. 40,000/- is being paid today in Court by way of Demand Draft No. 000612, dated 14.11.2024 drawn on AU



Small Finance Bank, Yamuna Vihar, Delhi.

4. The petitioner no. 1, namely, Mr. Yogesh is present in Court and has been identified by his counsel, Mr. M. Mukul, Adv.

5. Respondent No. 2, namely, Ms Bharti Soni is also present in Court and has been identified by her counsel, Mr. Sanjay Soni and Mr. Kamlesh Sharma, Advs.

6. Both parties state that they have entered into the aforesaid settlement out of their own free will, volition and without any threat, force, undue influence or coercion. It is stated by respondent No. 2 that she has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

7. Further, there are two minor children born out of the wedlock in care and custody of petitioner. It is directed that in view of the judgment ***Ganesh v. Sudhir Kumar Shrivastava*** [(2020) 20 SCC 787], the settlement executed between the petitioner no. 1 and respondent No. 2, and this order shall have no effect on the rights of child of the parties, who shall be free to avail all legal rights and remedies towards inheritance, maintenance, education, marriage expenses etc. against either of his parents.

8. Since the parties have arrived at a settlement and no disputes are pending, I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring about peace and would secure ends of justice. The Court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings are permitted to be prosecuted any further.

9. In this view of the matter, FIR No. 427/2021, dated 03.09.2021, registered at PS Dayal Pur under Sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, if any are hereby quashed.



10. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

JASMEET SINGH, J

JANUARY 7, 2025/sp

Click here to check corrigendum, if any