



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 10228/2024**

RAMESH KUMAR SUNEJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate.

versus

STATE (N.C.T. OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Aman Usman, APP for State with
Insp. Rahul Soni and Insp. Harish
Chander, P.S.EOW.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

19.03.2025

1. This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C read with Section 528 of BNSS for setting aside the order dated 20.11.2024 passed by the trial court in case FIR No.184/2018, under Sections 420/467/468/471/474 IPC, P.S. Economic Offences Wing.
2. The perusal of the impugned order dated 20.11.2024 reveals that petitioner filed an application to travel abroad to Thailand, Indonesia and Dubai from 10.11.2024 to 31.01.2025. It also appears that on previous occasions also, the petitioner was granted permission to travel abroad, although he could not avail the same.
3. The learned trial court, vide impugned order, granted permission as prayed by the petitioner subject to various conditions including the condition to furnish FDR in the sum of Rs.25 lacs. Petitioner is aggrieved only with such condition.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the condition of furnishing the FDR of Rs.25 lacs is harsh inasmuch as on the previous occasion, the trial court while granting permission to the petitioner to travel to US had imposed condition of deposit of FDR of Rs.2 lacs only.

5. Learned APP submits that while travelling to US one has to obtain VISA in advance which ensures that the traveller has to return back upon the expiry of VISA period whereas for the visit to Thailand, Indonesia and Dubai, one does not have to take advance VISA and the VISA is granted upon arrival, and therefore, it is not certain as to the period for which the VISA would be granted and thus, it may become difficult to ensure that the traveller would return back within the period for which the permission is granted.

6. Be that as it may, the permission was granted for the specific period i.e., 10.11.2024 to 31.01.2025 and not for any subsequent period. The petition challenging the order dated 20.11.2024 has therefore become infructuous and is accordingly dismissed.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

MARCH 19, 2025/ib