



\$~4 to 6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 4742/2024**

KASHIF ATHAR(ADVOCATE)

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit Gehlot, Mr. Nikhil Bhalla
& Mr. Faraz Mirza, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC, Mr. Kshitiz
Garg, Mr. Ashvini Kumar & Ms.
Sanskriti Nimbekar, Advocates.
Ms. Meenakashi Dahiya, APP for
State.

5

+ **BAIL APPLN. 4833/2024**

SANA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kashif Athar & Mr. Irfan
Firdous, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Meenakashi Dahiya, APP for
State.

6

+ **BAIL APPLN. 4837/2024**

MAHAY TALAT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kashif Athar & Mr. Irfan
Firdous, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Meenakashi Dahiya, APP for



State.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
09.01.2025

%

CRL.M.(BAIL) 38/2025 in BAIL APPLN. 4742/2024

1. The present Application under Section 482 read with Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of the Applicant/Petitioner seeking extension of Transit Anticipatory Bail which was granted *vide* Order dated 20.12.2024 by three weeks i.e., till 09.1.2025.
2. *Learned counsel for the Applicant/Petitioner* submits that though the Transit Anticipatory Bail was granted to the Applicant/Petitioner *vide* Order dated 20.12.2024 for a period of three weeks i.e., 09.01.2025 but for the next three days when the Courts were open, the Applicant/Petitioner was trying to get the similar Order for his mother, Smt. Mahay Talat and sister, Smt. Sana.
3. It is further submitted that thereafter, the Courts were closed and had opened only on 03.01.2025 after which he had only one day i.e., 04.01.2025 to approach the concerned Court. The Applicant/Petitioner himself is a practicing Advocate of this Court and he has serious apprehension for his safety considering the trial being done in Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh as well as there is ongoing social media trial.
4. It is further submitted that even though the protection had been granted by this Court *vide* Order dated 20.12.2024, the Ghaziabad Police wilfully ignored the said Protection Order and issued a Notice dated 25.12.2024 in several Newspapers and offered a reward of Rs. 25,000/- each on the Applicant/Petitioner, his mother and sister.



5. Therefore, the prayer is made that the Transit Anticipatory Bail granted by this Court *vide* Order dated 20.12.2024, may be extended by a period of three weeks till i.e., 30.01.2025.

6. Learned counsel for the Applicant/Petitioner submits that under no circumstances, further extension shall be sought on behalf of the Applicant/Petitioner.

7. Mr. Amol Sinha, learned Additional Standing Counsel, submits that the appropriate Orders may be passed.

8. Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State, submits that necessary Order may be passed.

9. **Submissions heard.**

10. Considering that there was no effective time with the Applicant/Petitioner on account the vacations of the Court, to approach the Court of competent jurisdiction, the Transit Anticipatory Bail granted *vide* 20.12.2024 is hereby extended till 23.01.2025.

11. Order *dasti*.

12. Accordingly, the Application is disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 4742/2024

BAIL APPLN. 4833/2024

BAIL APPLN. 4837/2024

13. By way of present Bail Petitions, the Petitioners seek Anticipatory Bail in FIR No. 0548/2024 under Sections 318(4)/316(2)/123/69/108/89/61(2) of BNS and Sections 3/4 of Dowry Act, Section 115(2) of BNS, Sections 3/5(1) of U.P.U.C.R. Act registered at Police Station Ghaziabad Kothwali, Uttar Pradesh.



14. Learned counsel for the Petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present Petitions.

15. In view of the submissions made, the present Petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JANUARY 9, 2025

S.Sharma