



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P. (MISC.) 41/2025

SH. GAGAN KAPOOR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nimish Chib, Mr. Jatin Rana, Ms.
Harshita Bhati, Advocates

versus

M/S DYNAMIC KREATION & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. L. B. Rai, Mr. Kartik Rai, Mr.
Satvik Rai, Mr. Vineesh Tyagi, Mr.
Rohit Kumar Poodar, Mr. Ayush
Pandita. Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **08.12.2025**

I.A. 30503/2025 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

O.M.P. (MISC.) 41/2025

1. The present petition under Section 29A (4) & (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. Material on record indicates that an Arbitral Tribunal was constituted by this Court on 08.05.2019. The last of the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has been extended by this Court till 07.11.2025. The mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has expired on 07.11.2025, and therefore, the present petition has been filed for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.



3. It is stated that the arbitration proceedings are at the stage of Claimant's Evidence.
4. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A (4) read with Section 29A (5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.
5. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to regularize the period from 07.11.2025 till today and extend the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal till 30.09.2026, so that the award can be pronounced.
6. The petition is disposed of, along with pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 8, 2025

Prateek