



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8702/2025 & CRL.M.A. 36316/2025**

SHRI RAJIV HASIJA & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Anil Sharma, Adv.
Both the petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH SHO PS
MODEL TOWN & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa,
APP for the State with SI
Chetan Yadav, PS Model
Town.
AR of R-2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
18.12.2025

%

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 160/2017 dated 21.04.2017, registered at Police Station Model Town, for offence under Sections 420/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'), including all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.
2. The FIR was registered on a complaint given by the authorised representative of Respondent No. 2 company. Allegedly, the petitioners duped Respondent No.2 company into investing an amount of ₹1,00,00,000/- under the garb of purchase of shares / securities by making false assurances of lucrative returns. It is alleged that no proof of investment into shares was



provided despite repeated requests. Subsequently, after several reminders, cheques were issued towards repayment of amount, however, the cheques were dishonoured on presentation. This led to registration of the present FIR.

3. The present petition has been filed on the ground that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes by way of Memorandum of Understanding dated 02.08.2024, of their own free will, without any coercion, pressure, or undue influence.

4. The petitioners and the authorized representative of Respondent No. 2 company are present in person in Court and they have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. A board resolution of Respondent No. 2 company expressing no objection for quashing of the FIR is placed on record. On being asked, the authorised representative of Respondent No. 2 company states that the settlement amount has been received. He submits that Respondent No.2 company does not wish to pursue any proceedings arising out of the present FIR and has no objection if the same are quashed.

6. Offence under Section 420 of the IPC is compoundable in nature.

7. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offences.

8. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court.



9. However, keeping in mind the fact that the State machinery has been put to motion, ends of justice would be served if the petitioners are put to cost.

10. In view of the above, FIR No. 160/2017 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, subject to payment of total cost of ₹10,000/- by the petitioners to be deposited with the Delhi Police Martyrs' Fund within a period of eight weeks.

11. Let the proof of deposit of cost be submitted to the concerned SHO.

12. The present petition is allowed in aforesaid terms. Pending application also stands disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 18, 2025

'KDK'