



\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8666/2025 & CRL.M.A. 36187/2025**

AJAY & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao, Mr. Jai Shankar and
Ms. Lochan Rajput, Advocates with
Petitioners in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, APP for the State
Ms. Shahana Parveen, Mr. Manoj
Makhija and Ms. Nancy, Advocates
for R-2 with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

04.12.2025

%

1. Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 0683/2015 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as 'IPC'*), at P.S. Mangolpuri and all the proceedings emanating therefrom, in terms of the Settlement executed before the Counselling Cell, Family Court dated 06.03.2025.

2. Learned APP appearing on advance Notice, accepts Notice on behalf of the State. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No. 2 appears on advance Notice and accepts the Notice.

3. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between Petitioner/husband and the Respondent No. 2/wife was solemnized on



20.01.2011, according to the Hindu rites and ceremonies and no child was born out of the said wedlock. Due to temperamental issues, the Petitioner/husband and the Respondent No. 2 are residing separately since 22.02.2014.

4. It is further submitted that on 01.04.2015, on the basis of Complaint made by the Respondent No. 2, FIR No. 0683/2015 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, got registered at P.S. Mangolpuri.

5. The parties are present before this Court in-person today and have been identified by their learned Counsel and Investigating Officer.

6. It is submitted that the FIR was a consequence of the matrimonial disputes between the Complainant and the Petitioner/husband. It is stated that the Petitioners and the Respondent No. 2 have amicably settled all the disputes and differences between them and arrived at Settlement dated 30.05.2025.

7. In the Settlement, it was *inter alia* settled between the parties that the Respondent No. 2/wife and the Petitioner/husband shall dissolve their marriage by decree of mutual consent under Section 13-B(1) and 13-B(2) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, from the Court of learned Principal Family Judge, Delhi. It is stated that the Petitioner/husband shall pay a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- towards full and final settlement of all the claims in all respect i.e. towards past, present and future maintenance, balance *istridhan* and alimony of the Respondent No. 2/wife, in three instalments.

8. It is stated that the Petitioner/husband has already paid Rs.4,00,000/- to the Respondent No. 2, which is acknowledged by the Respondent No. 2. The balance settled amount of Rs.2,00,000/- has been paid today in the Court by way of Demand Draft bearing No. 012889 dated 01.12.2025 drawn



on State Bank of India in favour of the Respondent No. 2, which is accepted by the Respondent No. 2.

9. It is also stated that the marriage between the Petitioner/husband and the Respondent No. 2/wife, had been dissolved by mutual consent *vide* Decree dated 24.07.2025, as per the Hindu law.

10. In view of the Settlement dated 30.05.2025, the present Petition has been filed.

11. The parties have endorsed the amicable Settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily and have undertaken to remain bound by the terms of the Settlement. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* Settlement dated 30.05.2025 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

12. The present Petition has been signed by the Petitioners and is supported by their Affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the Settlement dated 30.05.2025 and they submit that the said Settlement has been arrived at between the parties, without any pressure and coercion.

13. The Respondent No. 2 states that she has received all amounts due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

14. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

15. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the aforesaid FIR in question.



16. Accordingly, FIR No. 0683/2015 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Mangolpuri and all the consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

17. The Petition alongwith pending Application, is disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

DECEMBER 4, 2025

N