



\$~109

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 8657/2025

MANAV SHARMA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pramod Khanna, Adv. with
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP for State
with SI Neha Chaudhary PS Preet
Vihar.

Mr. Abhishek Pal and Mr. Deepak
Sharma, Advs. for R-2 and R-3.
R-2 and R-3 (through VC).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

04.12.2025

CRL.M.A. 36163/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 8657/2025

3. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner under Section 528 of BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No. 371/2022 under Sections 323/326/201/34 IPC registered at PS Preet Vihar.
4. Issue notice. Ms. Richa Dhawan, learned APP for State accepts notice.
5. The petitioner nos. 1-4 are present in Court, whereas respondent nos.



2 and 3 have joined through VC, and they have been identified by their respective counsels, as well as by the Investigating Officer, SI Neha Chaudhary PS Preet Vihar.

6. The case set out in the present petition is that the petitioners and respondent no.3 were studying together in Class XII and a dispute arose between them which escalated to a fight in which, the respondent no.3 suffered injuries. The FIR was thus registered at the instance of respondent no.2.

7. During pendency of the proceedings, due to the intervention of friends, respectable persons and other family members, the parties have amicably resolved their disputes and arrived at a settlement, the terms whereof have been reduced in writing in the compromise deed, which is annexed as Annexure P-4 to the present petition.

8. On a query posed by the Court, respondent no.2 states he has settled the matter with the petitioners and does not wish to prosecute the criminal proceedings any further, and he has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

9. At this stage, apt would it be to refer to the observations of the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303: (SCC p. 340, para 58)***

“58. Where the High Court quashes a criminal proceeding having regard to the fact that the dispute between the offender and the victim has been settled although the offences are not compoundable, it does so as in its opinion, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is restored; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor.”



10. In view of the settlement between the parties, the ultimate chances of conviction in the case are bleak, therefore, continuation of criminal proceedings will not serve any useful purpose and it will be an exercise in futility.

11. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.

12. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No. 371/2022 under Sections 323/326/201/34 IPC registered at PS Preet Vihar alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, is quashed.

13. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 4, 2025

N.S. ASWAL