



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 3995/2025 & CRL.M.A. 35948/2025**
SHIVANGI CHAWLAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Dhanesh, Mr. Paritosh Dhawan,
Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, St. Counsel (Crl.)
with Ms. Divya Aggarwal and Mr.
Aryan Sachdeva, Adv. with Insp.
Pawan Tomar, SHO, Insp. Nagender,
and SI Yogesh, PS Nangloi.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
12.12.2025

%

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023* seeking the following reliefs:-

- i. *Issue a writ of habeas corpus or any other appropriate writ thereby declaring the arrest of the detainee, namely Mr. Vaibhav Chawla, as illegal and consequently directing his forthwith release;*
- ii. *issue a writ of mandamus thereby granting compensation to the detainee for the illegality committed by the officials of respondents, including his illegal arrest;*



iii. *Issue a writ of mandamus thereby constituting a Special Investigation Team (SIT) or directing the CBI to investigate regarding the illegalities committed by the respondent police officials and at whose behest the said illegalities have been committed;*

2. On the last date, it was informed by learned Standing Counsel that *detenue*, Mr. Vaibhav Chawla was called for an inquiry pursuant to some complaint and after completion of such inquiry, he even returned to his home. Said fact was affirmed by learned counsel for the petitioner as well, on the last date.

3. Learned Counsel for petitioner states that *detenue* is being called regularly even thereafter and is made to sit for hours at Police Station, unnecessarily.

4. Learned Standing Counsel submits that although the Police Authorities have received certain complaints, fact remains that no FIR has been registered yet. It is undertaken that in case, the police authorities feel that any FIR is required to be registered, an advance intimation to the said effect would be sent to him. It is also assured that if, eventually, any FIR is registered, the Police shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and comply with the directions contained in ***Satender Kumar Antil vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr.*** [(2022) 10 SCC 51] and ***Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar*** [AIR 2014 SC 2756].

5. In view of the aforesaid, no further relief is sought and, therefore, the present petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms. It is made clear that the Police Authorities shall not unnecessarily harass or call the *detenue*, i.e., Mr. Vaibhav Chawla.



6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

MANOJ JAIN, J

DECEMBER 12, 2025
rs/ht