



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 18406/2025

MAYTIRA MALA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankit Pandey, Mr. Chandrika Prasad Mishra, Ms. Prashasti Singh, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ishkaran Singh Bhandari (CGSC) along with Mr. Piyush Yadav, Ms. Swetha, Advs. for UOI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

08.12.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner, a citizen of Thailand and the owner of the brand "Bandara by Maytira", seeking directions to the respondents to remove her name and/or her passport no. AC2763004 from the blacklist and permit her entry into India. The petitioner further seeks that the respondents be directed to consider her Employment Visa Application dated 12.02.2025.

2. It is submitted that the petitioner had earlier been granted an e-Business Visa for the purpose of travel to India for the period 16.01.2024 to 14.01.2025, during which she entered India on multiple occasions. However, at no point did her continuous stay on any single visit to India exceed 180 days. It is further submitted that once her aggregate stay in India crossed the 180-day limit, the petitioner, in compliance with the visa conditions, voluntarily approached the FRRO, paid a penalty of Rs.10,000/-, and was thereafter issued an Exit Permit on 21.12.2024, permitting her to depart from India on or before 14.01.2025.



3. It is contended that the petitioner has not breached any visa condition, as the conditions themselves do not prohibit an aggregate stay in India beyond 180 days. It is further submitted that upon crossing the 180-day threshold, the petitioner promptly approached the FRRO in accordance with the visa requirements. In these circumstances, there was no justification to place the petitioner on the blacklist, particularly when she has duly complied with all conditions attached to her visa.

4. In this regard, a detailed representation dated 06.10.2025 had been made by the petitioner to the concerned authorities highlighting the above aspects.

5. Considering the limited nature of the relief sought in the present petition, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned respondents to decide the petitioner's pending representation, taking into account the contentions raised by the petitioner in the present petition. Let an appropriate order be passed by the respondents and a decision be taken under intimation to the petitioner.

6. Let the aforesaid exercise be completed within a period of four weeks from today. In case the petitioner is aggrieved with the outcome of the aforesaid exercise, she shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedies under law.

7. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

SACHIN DATTA, J

DECEMBER 8, 2025/uk