



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8580/2025**

ANIL KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Harvinder Singh, Mr. Akhilesh
Yadav and Mr. Nitin Rana, Advocates
alongwith petitioners in person

versus

**THE STATE (G.N.C.T. OF DELHI) THROUGH SHO, PS
JAFFARPUR KALAN SOUTH WEST DISTRICT
NEW DELHI & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State with IO ASI Gajraj
Ms. Surekha, Advocate for R-2
alongwith R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

02.12.2025

CRL.M.A. 35874/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 8580/2025

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 391/2023, registered at Police Station Gokulpuri, North-West District, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (hereafter '*DP Act*') and its consequent proceedings arising therefrom.
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and



have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Gokulpuri, North-West District, Delhi.

5. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 02.02.2013, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that three daughters were born out of the said wedlock, who are presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties started residing separately since November, 2017. On the complaint of respondent no. 2 before the ACP, CAW Cell, Gokulpuri, North-East, New Delhi, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

6. During pendency of the case, with the intervention of family friends, relatives and well wishers, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes before Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi *vide* Memorandum of Understanding dated 05.04.2025. Thereafter, both the parties had dissolved their marriage by way of mutual consent before the concerned Court.

7. The respondent no. 2 is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has received an amount of Rs.6,00,000/- by way of Fixed Deposit Receipt (FDR) bearing no. 829963, 829962 and 829964 dated 24.11.2025 for a sum of ₹2,00,000/- each, drawn on State Bank of India, which she was to receive at the time of quashing of the FIR.

8. This Court notes that the custody of minor children are with respondent no. 2 and the future right of the children will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into



compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

10. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

11. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

12. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 391/2023, registered at Police Station Gokulpuri, North-West District, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

13. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

14. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J
DECEMBER 02, 2025/ns/ TD