



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 1174/2024 and I.A. 49312/2024 & I.A. 49313/2024**
TATA SONS PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Achuthan Sreekumar, Mr. Rohil
Bansal and Mr. Swastik Bisarya,
Advocates.

versus

SRI SIRI VENKATESWARA TRADING AND CO.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Megha Shaw & Mr. D. Abhinav
Rao, Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
21.02.2025

%

1. Counsel enters appearance on behalf of the defendant and submits that the defendant has discontinued the use of the bottles bearing the impugned packaging and trade dress. She further submits that the defendant would have no objection to a decree of permanent injunction being passed against the defendant.
2. Accordingly, a decree of permanent injunction is passed in terms of prayer clauses 71 (i), (ii) and (iii) of the plaint.
3. Counsel for the plaintiffs does not press for the remaining reliefs prayed for in the plaint.
4. Decree sheet be drawn up accordingly.
5. In view of the fact that the suit has been decreed at an initial stage, the



Registry is directed to issue a certificate of refund of 100% of the Court Fees in favour of the plaintiffs, in terms of Section 16 of the Court Fees Act.

6. All pending applications stand disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

FEBRUARY 21, 2025

Vivek/-