



\$~2 (Appellate Side)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 18311/2025 & CM APPL. 8831/2026, CM APPL. 8832/2026
& REVIEW PET. 68/2026

M/S PATTALI MAKKAL KATCHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Balbir Singh, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
M.P. Devnath, Mr. Abhishek Anand,
Mr. Rahul Kumar and Ms. Charu
Trivedi, Advocates
Mob: 7903435470

versus

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, SC for ECI
along with Mr. Maulik Khurana, Mr.
Siddhartha Goswami, Advs. and Mr.
Ashwini Kumar, Secretary, ECI.
Mob: 8800111333
Email:
vlocommunication@outlook.com
Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Tushar Gupta, Ms. Nitya Gupta,
Mr. S. Balu, Mr. Karuna Karan, Mr.
Vinoba Boopathi, Ms. Aditi Gupta,
Mr. Lakhvinder Singh and Mr. Junaid
Aamir, Advocates for Intervenor
respondent
Mob: 9871550054
Email: tushar@mglaw.in



CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER
% **09.02.2026**

CM APPL. 8831/2026 (For Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application is accordingly disposed of.

CM APPL. 8832/2026 (For condonation of delay in filing Review Petition) & REVIEW PET. 68/2026

3. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the review petitioner, i.e., Election Commission of India ("ECI"), submits that as per the scheme of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 ("RP Act"), and specifically as per Section 29A, which deals with the registration of political parties, the application of any political party seeking to register itself must contain the details of the party's particulars, including, the names of the President, Secretary, Treasurers and other office bearers.
4. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the ECI submits that at the time when the political party in question, i.e., M/s Pattali Makkal Katchi, had given its application to the ECI for the purpose of registration, certain names had been given, which are on the record of ECI. Subsequently, further documents were submitted before the ECI, with regard to the change of the President.
5. He submits that in view of the statutory provisions of the RP Act, the ECI will act in accordance with the information and documents, which are on the record of the ECI, before the internal disputes amongst the various members of the political party, arose.



6. The aforesaid statement is taken note of.
7. *Per contra*, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the present review is not maintainable, as no error apparent on the record has been pointed out by the review petitioner. He further submits that the submissions made before this Court are an afterthought.
8. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the case advanced before it. This Court has only recorded the statements made by the respective parties, with regard to the position of law.
9. Noting the aforesaid, the present review petition, along with the pending application, is accordingly disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2026/SK